Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

AVI AZOULAI, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BMW OF NORTH AMERICA LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 16-cv-00589-BLF

ORDER STRIKING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

[Re: ECF 44]

On October 21, 2016, Defendant BMW of North America, LLC filed its motion to dismiss plaintiffs' second amended class action complaint. Mot., ECF 44. Because the motion violates the Court's Standing Order re Civil Cases and Civ. L.R. 3-4(c)(2) with respect to the use of footnotes, Defendant's motion is STRICKEN.

This Court's Standing Order re Civil Cases, subsection E.4 provides that footnotes "are to be used sparingly and citations to textual matter shall not be contained in footnotes." Several pages of the opposition contain multiple footnotes—one of which spans 22 lines of text. Mot. 13– 14. Through this use of footnotes, Defendant included 127 lines of single-spaced text—the equivalent of nearly 5 pages of text had Defendant complied with the Civil Local Rules' requirement that text in the body of the brief be double-spaced with no more than 28 lines per page. See Civ. L.R. 3-4(c)(2). Had Defendant complied with these rules, its brief would have been oversized, at 29 pages.

Because Defendant's use of footnotes violates the Court's Standing Order and the Civil Local Rules, the Court STRIKES Defendant's motion to dismiss Plaintiff's second amended class action complaint. The Court ORDERS Defendant to file a motion that complies with the Standing Order and Civil Local Rules; contains few footnotes, if any; and does not exceed 25 pages

Case 5:16-cv-00589-BLF Document 45 Filed 10/24/16 Page 2 of 2

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	4 5 6 7 8
	7
	8
	9
	9
	11
iia	12
liforr	12 13 14
rthern District of Cal	14
	15 16 17 18 19
	16
	17
No	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27

28

United States District Court

inclusive of the signature page by no later than October 31, 2016.	Pursuant to the Federal Rules
of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff's opposition to Defendant's motion sh	nall be due 14 days after the
amended motion is filed.	

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 24, 2016

BETH LABSON FREEMAN United States District Judge